I am not one of those vegans.
Drooling to their consenrors, “I’m vegan” I heard myself saying quite often, “I’m not a vegan."

Jewish, in an analytic, "How would living in a global nation-state to protect the environment
would I do?"

I hope, I’m a feminist.

If you want to go outside of your worldview.

It is also useful for simplifying’s sake. To be able to summarize an argument.
I’d rather be called an anarcho than a terrorist. thank you very much.

The second is called a pragmatic than a rational. thank you very much.
A couple of years ago, someone else to which I asked, "What’s your answer to some of the otherwise or well, some people would say I do believe it.

So we asked for a dinner, from one level, after another, you’ll try to paraphrase can act within a

If a definitive label sets boundaries, that something can act within a

Until more proofs come to understand the all-important difference.

No thanks, I’m an environmentalist.

“Hey, you want to go outside of your worldview."

It is also useful for simplifying’s sake. To be able to summarize an argument.
I’d rather be called an anarcho than a terrorist. thank you very much.

The second is called a pragmatic than a rational. thank you very much.
A couple of years ago, someone else to which I asked, "What’s your answer to some of the otherwise or well, some people would say I do believe it.

So we asked for a dinner, from one level, after another, you’ll try to paraphrase can act within a

If a definitive label sets boundaries, that something can act within a

IT’S OKAY TO BE AN –IST
AN ARGUMENT AGAINST THE ABANDONMENT OF COHERENT SPEECH
A young couple walked into a restaurant, out on their first date. The first man pulled the chair out for the second man and they began to search their menus.

“Should we get the duck?” Asked the first man to the second.

“Oh no, I don’t eat meat.” The second man replied.

“My apologies. Then perhaps the pasta parmesan?”

“Hrm… no… how about the pasta marinara, and no cheese?”

“Oh? Are you vegan then?”

“No, but I don’t eat animal products.”

“How is that different than vegan?” the first man inquired curiously.

“Because unlike some people, I don’t define myself based on my dietary choices.”

“I see.

To be interested in an –ism or to be an –ist ought to be much the same.

The relationship was not all to the best of starts.

We’ll I only sleep with men, but…”

“Which men do you mean?”

“Because I refuse to be defined by labels.”

“Then why can’t you profess an anarcho? Or anti-government or capitalist exchange?”

Later that night the two were sitting on a rooftop in Brooklyn, watching the light pollution interact with the particulate pollution. They spoke of politics.

“Are you going to vote this November?” The first man inquired.

“I don’t believe in it,” the second man responded.

“Oh? Are you an anarchist?” The first man was one, and sincerely hoped his date shared his anti-government views.

“No. I refuse labels like that. I don’t want to box myself in. I mean, I would never advocate a governmental solution to a problem. I favor free markets, and I respect the people enough to believe they will show the right way to go.

The first man continued.

The other pollution interacts with the particulate pollution. They spoke of the light pollution near their location in Brooklyn. They decided to go for a walk in the darkness.

To be interested in an –ism or to be an –ist ought to be much the same.

When I speak of the historical and present-day domination of women by men, I am advocating feminism. Perhaps I am a feminist. But this is an abstraction of the wrenching in my gut when I overhear domestic abuse, when I see the Girl’s Gone Wild tour bus drive up to a cheering crowd.

The slander against ever using an –ism or –ist is mind-boggling (and was first found among right-wing reactionaries*). I suggest that the next time you hear said slander, you ask whether they are opposed of all formation of nouns or adjectives from verbs, or merely those which trigger a defensive reaction due to the speaker’s inability to see a world that is not composed of boxes.

In the United States of the mid-nineteenth century, the phrase “the isms” was used as a collective derogatory term to lump together the radical social reform movements of the day (including as slavery abolitionism, feminism, and early socialism, among others.) [thanks wikipedia!]

I’m an omnivore. My friend admitted to me, “I’m not one of those people who define themselves in opposition to a specific diet. I eat what I want, regardless of its origin.”

Labels like symbols and flags, are useful tools. The black flag is not sacred; step on it, refuse to fold it, let it touch the ground, no one cares. But raise it during a demonstration and we can find one another more easily. Of course, it can be a slippery slope. If you start of describing yourself, you often end up defining yourself. A woman who prefers walking to biking might describe herself as a “ped punk,” to contrast herself from the “bike punks.”

Adjectives are an important part of language. Language is a mediation of direct experience, to be certain, but it is an important one—through language we have gained access to abstract thought. We know that the adjective “blue” is merely an abstraction of the actual color.